OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SAN FERNANDO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO: Chairperson Judith N. Frank and Oversight Board Members

FROM: Don Penman, Interim City Administrator

By: Rafaela King, Interim Finance Director Sonia Garcia, Junior Accountant

DATE: February 14, 2013

SUBJECT: Approval of Recognized Payment Obligation Schedule (ROPS) No. 13-14A

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the San Fernando Redevelopment Agency adopt a Resolution (Attachment "A") approving the ROPS No. 13-14A (Exhibit "A") for the six-month fiscal period from July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, and taking certain other related actions.

BACKGROUND:

- 1. Pursuant to AB X 1 26, the Successor Agency to the San Fernando Redevelopment Agency (Successor Agency) must prepare a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for each six-month fiscal period (commencing each January 1 and July 1), listing the payments to be made by the Successor Agency during such period. All ROPS must be approved by the Oversight Board. Furthermore, each Oversight Board-approved ROPS must be submitted to the State Department of Finance (DOF) for final review and approval.
- 2. On June 27, 2012, the Governor signed the State budget trailer bill AB 1484, which became effective immediately. AB 1484 imposes new requirements and deadlines, beginning with the ROPS covering the period from July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 (ROPS No. 3).

ANALYSIS:

Deadlines for ROPS Submission and Review

AB 1484 does not specify a deadline for the Successor Agency to submit ROPS No. 13-14A to the Oversight Board for approval. However, the Successor Agency must submit an Oversight Board-approved ROPS No. 13-14A to the DOF, the Office of the State Controller, and the

Approval of Recognized Payment Obligation Schedule (ROPS) No. 13-14A Page 2

County Auditor-Controller no later than March 1, 2013. The Successor Agency must submit the ROPS to the DOF electronically in the manner of DOF's choosing. A copy of the Oversight Board-approved ROPS must be posted on the Successor Agency's website.

The DOF may eliminate or modify any items on the ROPS before approving the ROPS. The DOF must make its determination regarding the enforceable obligations and the amount and funding source for each enforceable obligation listed on a ROPS no later than 45 days after the ROPS is submitted. Within five business days of the DOF's determination, the Successor Agency may request to "meet and confer" with the DOF on disputed items.

The County Auditor-Controller may object to the inclusion of any item on the ROPS that is not demonstrated to be an enforceable obligation and may object to the funding source proposed for any item. The County Auditor-Controller must provide notice of its objections to the DOF, the Successor Agency, and the Oversight Board prior to the conclusion of the DOF's 45 day review period.

Penalties for Failure to Make Timely Submission

If the Successor Agency does not submit an Oversight Board-approved ROPS by March 1, 2013, the City of San Fernando will be subject to a civil penalty of \$10,000 per day for every day that the ROPS is not submitted to the DOF. The penalty is to be paid to the County Auditor-Controller for distribution to the taxing entities. If the Successor Agency does not timely submit a ROPS, creditors of the Successor Agency, the DOF, and affected taxing entities may request a writ of mandate to require the Successor Agency to immediately perform this duty. Additionally, if the Successor Agency does not submit a ROPS within 10 days of March 1st, the Successor Agency's Administrative Cost Allowance for that period will be reduced by 25 percent.

If the Successor Agency fails to submit an Oversight Board-approved ROPS to the DOF within five business days of "the date upon which the ROPS is to be used to determine the amount of property tax allocations", the DOF may determine whether the County Auditor-Controller should distribute any of property tax revenues to the taxing entities, or whether any amount should be withheld for enforceable obligations pending approval of the ROPS.

Environment Assessment

It is staff's assessment that the administrative actions undertaken by the Oversight Board for the Successor Agency as it relates to the adoption of the attached Resolution and approval of the ROPS No. 13-14A for submittal to DOF, the Office of the State Controller, and the County Auditor-Controller no later than March 1, 2013, is not a project under California Environmental Quality Act and will not have any significant environmental impact environmental impact therefore no additional action is required.

CONCLUSION:

Adoption of the attached Resolution will allow the Oversight Board to direct Successor Agency staff to submit ROPS No. 13-14A to the DOF by the required March 1, 2013 deadline contingent

Approval of Recognized Payment Obligation Schedule (ROPS) No. 13-14A Page 3

upon the Successor Agency's approval of the Board approved ROPS 13-14A without any changes. Subsequent DOF approval of the ROPS No. 13-14A will allow the Successor Agency to pay its enforceable obligations for the period from July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. Furthermore, approval of ROPS No. 13-14A will allow the Successor Agency to continue its work to wind down the affairs of the dissolved Redevelopment Agency pursuant to applicable state regulations.

ATTACHMENT:

A. Resolution

| RESOLUTION NO. | |
|----------------|--|
|----------------|--|

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SAN FERNANDO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE FOR THE SIX-MONTH FISCAL PERIOD COMMENCING JULY 1, 2013 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2013, AND TAKING CERTAIN ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

RECITALS:

- A. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(l), the Successor Agency to the San Fernando Redevelopment Agency (the "Successor Agency") must prepare a proposed Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule ("ROPS") before each six-month fiscal period (commencing each January 1 and July 1) and submit each proposed ROPS to the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency (the "Oversight Board") for approval.
- B. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34177(l)(2)(C) and (m), the Successor Agency must: (1) submit the Oversight Board-approved ROPS for the six-month fiscal period from July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 ("ROPS No. 13-14A"), to the DOF, the Office of the State Controller, and the County Auditor-Controller no later than March 1, 2013; and, (2) post a copy of the Oversight Board-approved ROPS No. 13-14A on the Successor Agency's website.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SAN FERNANDO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, FIND, DETERMINE, AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

- <u>Section 1.</u> The above recitals are true and correct and are a substantive part of this Resolution.
- Section 2. The Oversight Board hereby approves proposed ROPS No. 13-14A, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Staff of the Successor Agency is hereby authorized and directed to submit a copy of Oversight Board-approved ROPS No. 13-14A to the San Fernando Successor Agency for their review and approval. Subsequent to the Successor Agency review and approval in substantial conformance with the Board approved ROPS No. 13-14A, the Board directs Successor Agency staff to submit the ROPS No. 13-14A (Exhibit "A") to the DOF, the Office of the State Controller, and the County Auditor-Controller no later than March 1, 2013 to post a copy of the Board-approved ROPS No. 13-14A on the Successor Agency's website (http://www.ci.san-fernando.ca.us/oversightboard/).
- <u>Section 3.</u> The Oversight Board hereby designates the City Administrator, as the official designated to whom DOF may make a request for review in connection with actions taken by the Oversight Board.

<u>Section 4.</u> The officers of the Oversight Board and the staff of the Successor Agency are hereby authorized and directed, jointly and severally, to do any and all things that they may deem necessary or advisable to effectuate this Resolution, including requesting additional review by the Successor Agency and subsequently by the DOF and an opportunity to meet and confer on any disputed items with DOF, and any such actions previously taken by such officers and staff are hereby ratified and confirmed.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 14th day of February, 2013.

| ATTEST: | Judith N. Frank, Chairperson |
|--|---|
| Ivonne Evelyn Umana, Deputy Clerk County of Los Angeles, Board of Supervisor Acting as Secretary to the San Fernando Oversight Board | - 'S |
| STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss CITY OF SAN FERNANDO) | |
| regular meeting of the Oversight Board | regoing Resolution was approved and adopted at a of the Successor Agency to the San Fernando of February, 2013, by the following vote to wit: |
| AYES: | |
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Ivonne Evelyn Umana, Deputy Clerk County of Los Angeles, Board of Supervisor Acting as Secretary to the | - rs |

San Fernando Oversight Board

EXHIBIT "A"

SUCCESSOR AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

| Successor Agency | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| ID: | 141 |
| County: | Los Angeles |
| Successor Agency: | San Fernando City |
| | |
| Primary Contact | |
| Honorific (Ms, Mr, Mrs) | Mr. |
| First Name | Don |
| Last Name | Penman |
| Title | Interim City Administrator |
| Address | 117 Macneil Str |
| | |
| City | San Fernardo |
| State | CA |
| Zip | 91340 |
| Phone Number | 818-898-1202 |
| Email Address | dpenman@sfcity.org |
| | |
| Secondary Contact | |
| Honorific (Ms, Mr, Mrs) | |
| First Name | Sonia |
| Last Name | Garcia |
| Title | Junior Accountant |
| Phone Number | 818-898-1215 |

sgarcia@sfcity.org

Email Address

SUMMARY OF RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE

Filed for the July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 Period

Name of Successor Agency: SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES)

| Outstanding | Debt or Obligation | | Total |
|------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| Tota | al Outstanding Debt or Obligation | | \$36,224,756 |
| Current Perio | od Outstanding Debt or Obligation | | Six-Month Total |
| A Avail | ilable Revenues Other Than Anticipated RPTTF Funding | | \$0 |
| B Enfo | orceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF | | \$3,799,455 |
| C Adm | ninistrative Allowance Funded with RPTTF | \$125,000 | |
| D Tota | al RPTTF Funded (B + C = D) | | \$3,924,455 |
| E Tota | al Current Period Outstanding Debt or Obligation (A + B + G | C = E) Should be same amount as ROPS form six-month total | \$3,924,455 |
| F Ente | er Total Six-Month Anticipated RPTTF Funding | | \$0 |
| G Varia | ance (F - D = G) Maximum RPTTF Allowable should not ex | ceed Total Anticipated RPTTF Funding | (\$3,924,455) |
| | (July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012) Estimated vs. er Estimated Obligations Funded by RPTTF (lesser of Finance | 's approved RPTTF amount including admin allowance or the actual amount distributed) | \$1,601,454 |
| | er Estimated Obligations Funded by RPTTF (lesser of Finance er Actual Obligations Paid with RPTTF | 's approved RPTTF amount including admin allowance or the actual amount distributed) | \$1,588,614 |
| | er Actual Administrative Expenses Paid with RPTTF | | \$12,840 |
| | ustment to Redevelopment Obligation Retirement Fund (H | (1+1)=K | \$0 |
| - | • | - (I + J) - K) | |
| L Auju | ustment to RPTTF(D - K = L) | | \$3,924,455 |
| Certification of | of Oversight Board Chairman: | | |
| Pursuant to S | Section 34177(m) of the Health and Safety code, | Name | Title |
| I hereby certi | ify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized | | |
| Obligation Pa | ayment Schedule for the above named agency. | /s/ | |
| | | Signature | Date |

SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES) RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS 13-14A) July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

| | | | | | I | | | | | | Frankli | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Contract/Agreement | Contract/Agreement | | | | Total Outstanding | Total Due During Fiscal Year | | _ | | ng Source | | |
| Item # | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Execution Date | Termination Date | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Debt or Obligation \$36,224,756 | 2013-14 \$4,349,090 | Bond Proceeds \$0 | Reserve Balance \$0 | | RPTTF \$3,799,455 | Other \$0 | Six-Month Total \$3,924,455 |
| 1 | 1998 Tax Allocation Bond Series | 4/6/1998 | 9/15/2014 | US Bank | Bonds issued for the purpose of refinancing prior bonds | #1 & 3 | 1,392,375 | 715,051 | \$0 | \$0 | \$125,000 | \$3,799,455 697,726 | \$0 | \$3,924,455 |
| | | | | | from 1987 & 1991 ("prior bonds") and refinancing additional RDA activities. Council Resolution #6088. | | | | Ů | | Ů | r | 0 | , in the second |
| | 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Series | 12/21/2006 | 9/15/2020 | US Bank | Bonds issued for the purpose of City Yard relocation, North Maclay Streetscape, and the Regional Aquatics Facility. Council Resolution #7158, RDA Resolution #952. | #3 | 9,239,859 | 1,143,846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,005,496 | 0 | 1,005,496 |
| 3 | 1998 & 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds | | | US Bank | Fiscal agent fees & continuing disclosure fees charged by the Trustee (U.S. Bank) to administer the 1998 & 2006 bonds. | #1 & 3 | on-going | 6,829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,329 | 0 | 5,329 |
| 4 | Retirement Override Assessment | | | City of San Fernando Retirement | Accounting entry adjustment for the period from FY 2004- | #4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | Fund | 05 through FY 2009-10. Per Redevelopment Plan for project area #4 Tax Increment allocation requirements taxes levy known as the "Retirement Fund of the City of San Fernando", tax rate levied for said tax fund where deposited into Project Area 4 and should have been recorded separately into the Retirement Fund per the Redevelopment Plan adopted July 18, 1994 Ord. #1447. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | n/a | | | BLANK | BLANK | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Agency repayment of Sewer Fund Ioan | 2/17/2010 | 5/14/2014 | San Fernando Public Finance Authority - Sewer Fund | Per Council Resolution #7362 dated 2/16/2010, the utility sewer fund invested monies into Project Area #2 & 4. | #2 & 4 | 460,194 | 460,194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460,194 | 0 | 460,194 |
| 7 | Administrative Cost (Personnel Cost) | | | Employees of RDA/Successor Agency | Salaries and medical benefits for employees undertaking the activities of the Successor Agency (July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013), including general legal services and indirect cost. | #1, 2, 3, 3A & 4 | on-going | 209,100 | 0 | 0 | 93,850 | 0 | 0 | 93,850 |
| 8 | State Controllers Transaction Report | | | Thales Consulting | Preparation of the State Controllers Financial Transaction Report for FY 2011-12 per Health and Safety Code Section 33080, this report is due within six months after the end of the fiscal year. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as professional services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and legal Counsel. | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & LMIHF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Administrative Cost (Annual Audit) | 7/1/2012 | 6/30/2015 | Teaman, Ramirez & Smith, Inc. | Annual Financial Audit Reports required per Health & Safety code section 33080 for FY 2010-2011. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as professional services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and legal Counsel. | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & LMIHF | 16,300 | 5,400 | 0 | 0 | 5,400 | 0 | 0 | 5,400 |
| 10 | Administrative Cost (Property Tax analysis) | | | HDL, Coren & Cone | RDA/Successor Agency property tax analysis and audit services. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as professional services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and legal Counsel. | #1, 2, 3, 3A & 4 | on-going | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 2,250 | 0 | 0 | 2,250 |
| | Legal Services | 5/17/1999 | | Agency Attorneys | Legal Services provided to the Successor Agency | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & LMIHF | on-going | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,500 | 0 | 0 | 7,500 |
| | Wilshire Ventures - Attorney Fees (Project Specific) | | | Aleshire Wynder, LLP | Ongoing Agency litigation regarding expired Exclusive Negotiation Agreement with Wilshire Ventures. | #1 | on-going | 30,107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| | LAUSD Litigation (Project Specific) | 5/17/1999 | | Richards, Watson & Gershon | Ongoing attorney fees associated with defense of the Agency/Successor Agency regarding LAUSD lawsuit challenging prior fiscal years pass through payments. | #1, 2, 3, 3A & 4 | on-going | 18,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,576 | 0 | 12,576 |
| | Project #1/89 Annex | 11/9/1988 | 6/30/2018 | County of Los Angeles | Repayment of Agency loan agreement #60882 with Los Angeles County for deferral of pass through payments to meet Agency's debt service obligations | #1A | 3,076,430 | 223,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111,929 | 0 | 111,929 |
| 15 | DDA with Haagen/Tiangus | 10/16/1989 | 6/27/2018 | San Fernando Mission Partnership | Payment of Developer loan per Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) between the Agency and the Developer (San Fernando Mission Partnership/Tiangus) to facilitate redevelopment project at San Fernando Mission Blvd. | #1A | 18,783,582 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | 60,000 |

SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES) RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS 13-14A) July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

| | | | | | | | | | | | Fundir | ng Source | | |
|--------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|
| Item # | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Contract/Agreement Execution Date | Contract/Agreement Termination Date | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation | Total Due During Fiscal Year 2013-14 | Bond Proceeds | Reserve Balance | Admin Allowance | RPTTF | Other | Six-Month Total |
| 16 | SERAF Loan Payments | 1/19/2010 | 6/30/2015 | Low Moderate Income Housing Fund | Housing Fund Loan to the Redevelopment Project Areas to make the FY 2009-2010 Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) payment per Health and Safety Code Section 33690 (a)(1). | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | 1,798,811 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 17 | Retirement Tax Override | | | City of San Fernando Retirement Fund | Property Tax Increment from the Project area attributable to the City's Levy of its Retirement Tax Override. The Gross Tax Increment revenue collected in November 2011 through January 2012 was \$3,382,517.43, which included the City's Levy of its Retirement Tax Override of \$746,194. The County included the retirement tax override amount when calculating the residual amount due. | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 18 | Due Diligence Review as required by AB 1484 | | | Eadie & Payne | As part of AB 1484, California Health and Safety Code Section #34179.5(a) requires each successor agency to select and employ a licensed accountant to complete a "due diligence" review. | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & LMIHF | 16,700 | 16,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,700 | 0 | 16,700 |
| 19 | Bond Arbitrage Report | | | US Bank | Bond arbitrage report for 1998 TAB prepared in November 2012. | #1 & 3 | 3,675 | 3,675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,675 | 0 | 3,67 |
| 20 | Outstanding obligation to City Related to Adjustment for Historical Retirement Tax Override Levies | | | City of San Fernando Retirement Obligation | Accounting entry adjustment for the period from FY 2004- 05 through FY 2009/10. Property taxes levied through the Retirement Tax Override were attributed to Project Area 4 as tax increment, when they should have been deposited directly into the City's Retirement Fund. | #4 | 492,348 | 492,348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 492,348 | 0 | 492,34 |
| 21 | Retirement Tax Override | | | City of San Fernando Retirement Obligation | The FY 2011/12 Retirement Tax Override of \$0.2842 due and payable to the City of San Fernando was \$746,194. However, this amount was considered tax increment for purposes of the \$34183.5 calculation (July 2012 true-up), when it should have been considered as the retirement property tax override, and thus, allocated to the City for its PERS obligation. | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | 746,194 | 746,194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 746,194 | 0 | 746,194 |
| 22 | Credit for ROPS I Passthrough Payment Obligations paid by Successor Agency (obligations were also paid by LA County) | | | City of San Fernando Successor Agency | Pass Through County Adjustment relates to amounts reported on ROPS 1 for pass through owed to LA County that was already deducted. | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | 182,288 | 182,288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182,288 | 0 | 182,28 |
| 23 | Redevelopment Dissolution Advisory Services | 6/30/2013 | 2/1/2013 | Seifel Consulting Inc. | Redevelopment dissolution advisory services provided to the Successor Agency. | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 | 16,000 |

SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34186 (a)

PRIOR PERIOD ESTIMATED OBLIGATIONS vs. ACTUAL PAYMENTS

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS II)

July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012

| | | | | | | | Bond Proceeds Reserve Balance | | | | | | | | 1 | |
|-----|--|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| m# | 42 14 24 24 | | | | LM | IHF Actual | | roceeds Actual | | Balance Actual | Admin A Estimate | Actual | RP1 Estimate | TTF Actual | Oth Estimate | ier Actual |
| 1 # | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Payee | Description/Project Scope | Project Area | Estimate \$0 | Actual \$0 | Estimate \$0 | | Estimate \$0 | Actual \$0 | \$125,000 | \$12,840 | \$2,508,508 | \$1,588,614 | Estimate \$0 | Actual |
| 1 | 1998 Tax Allocation Bond Series | US Bank | Bonds issued for the purpose of refinancing prior bonds from | n#1 & 3 | Şū | Şū | 30 | 50 | ÇO | ŢŪ. | \$123,000 | \$12,040 | 649,613 | 649,602 | ÇÜ | |
| | | | 1987 & 1991 ("prior bonds") and refinancing additional RDA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | activities. Council Resolution #6088. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Series | US Bank | Bonds issued for the purpose of City Yard relocation, North Maclay Streetscape, and the Regional Aquatics Facility. | #3 | | | | | | | | | 841,723 | 841,665 | | |
| | | | Council Resolution #7158, RDA Resolution #952. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1998 & 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds | US Bank | Fiscal agent fees & continuing disclosure fees charged by the | #1 & 3 | | | | | | | | | 3,500 | 3,465 | | |
| | | | Trustee (U.S. Bank) to administer the 1998 & 2006 bonds. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Retirement Override Assessment | City of San Fernando Retirement | Accounting entry adjustment for the period from FY 2004-05 | 5 #4 | | | | | | | | | 50,000 | | | |
| | | Fund | through FY 2009-10. Per Redevelopment Plan for project | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | area #4 Tax Increment allocation requirements taxes levy | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | known as the "Retirement Fund of the City of San Fernando", tax rate levied for said tax fund where deposited | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | into Project Area 4 and should have been recorded | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | separately into the Retirement Fund per the Redevelopment | t | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Plan adopted July 18, 1994 Ord. #1447. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | State CalHFA Loan | California Housing Fiance Agency | BLANK | | | | | | | | | | 690,073 | DOF Disallowed | | |
| | | (CalHFA) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Agency repayment of Sewer Fund Ioan | San Fernando Public Finance Authority - Sewer Fund | Per Council Resolution #7362 dated 2/16/2010, the utility sewer fund invested monies into Project Area #2 & 4. | #2 & 4 | | | | | | | | | 79,492 | 17,666 | | |
| 7 | Administrative Cost (Personnel Cost) | Employees of RDA/Successor | Salaries and medical benefits for employees undertaking the | #1 2 3 3 4 8 / | | | | | | | 90,410 | 1,551 | | | | |
| , | Administrative cost (Fersonner cost) | Agency | activities of the Successor Agency (July 1, 2012 through June | | | | | | | | 30,410 | 1,551 | | | | |
| | | | 30, 2013), including general legal services and indirect cost. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | State Controllers Transaction Report | Thales Consulting | Preparation of the State Controllers Financial Transaction | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & | | | | | | | 3,540 | 0 | | | | |
| | • | | Report for FY 2011-12 per Health and Safety Code Section | LMIHF | | | | | | | · | | | | | |
| | | | 33080, this report is due within six months after the end of | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | the fiscal year. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | professional services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | legal Counsel. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Administrative Cost (Annual Audit) | Teaman, Ramirez & Smith, Inc. & | | | | | | | | | 11,550 | 5,400 | | | | |
| | | other auditor T.B.D. for (AB 1484 | | LMIHF | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Due Diligence Review) | Oversight Board as professional services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | for Agency staff and legal Counsel. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Administrative Cost (Property Tax analysis) | HDL, Coren & Cone | RDA/Successor Agency property tax analysis and audit | #1, 2, 3, 3A & 4 | | | | | | | 4,500 | 1,506 | | | | |
| | | | services. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as professiona | d | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | services contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and legal Counsel. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Legal Services | Richards, Watson & Gershon | Legal Services provided to the Successor Agency | #1, 2, 3, 3A, 4 & LMIHF | | | | | | | 15,000 | 4,383 | | | | |
| 12 | Wilshire Ventures - Attorney Fees (Project | Aleshire Wynder, LLP | Ongoing Agency litigation regarding expired Exclusive | #1 | | | | | | | | | 25,107 | 3,640 | | |
| 12 | Specific) LAUSD Litigation (Project Specific) | Richards, Watson & Gershon | Negotiation Agreement with Wilshire Ventures. Ongoing attorney fees associated with defense of the | #1, 2, 3, 3A & 4 | | | | | | | | | 9,000 | 12,576 | | |
| -3 | | | Agency/Successor Agency regarding LAUSD lawsuit | , 2, 3, 3, 0 | | | | | | | | | 3,000 | 12,370 | | |
| | | | challenging prior fiscal years pass through payments. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Project #1/89 Annex | County of Los Angeles | Repayment of Agency loan agreement #60882 with Los | #1A | | | | | | | | | 100,000 | | | |
| | | | Angeles County for deferral of pass through payments to | | | | | | | | | | | DOF Disallowed | | |
| 10 | DDA with Haagen/Tigngus | San Fernando Mission | meet Agency's debt service obligations Payment of Developer loan per Disposition and | #1A | | | | | | | | | 60,000 | 60,000 | | |
| 13 | 15 DDA with Haagen/Tiangus | Partnership | Development Agreement (DDA) between the Agency and | 11.40 | | | | | | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | | |
| | | | the Developer (San Fernando Mission Partnership/Tiangus) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | to facilitate redevelopment project at San Fernando Mission Blvd. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | SERAF Loan Payments | Low Moderate Income Housing | Housing Fund Loan to the Redevelopment Project Areas to | #1, 1A, 2, 3, 3A, & 4 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | Fund | make the FY 2009-2010 Supplemental Educational Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Augmentation Fund (SERAF) payment per Health and Safety | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Code Section 33690 (a)(1). | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES)

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS 13-14A) -- Notes (Optional)

July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

| Item # | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Notes/Comments |
|--------|---|--|
| 1 | 1998 Tax Allocation Bond Series | Includes \$663,863 for ROPS 13-14A and an unfunded ROPS III obligation of \$33,863. |
| 2 | 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Series | Includes \$855,248 for ROPS 13-14A and an unfunded ROPS III obligation of \$150,248. |
| 3 | 1998 & 2006 Tax Allocation Bonds | Includes \$3,500 for ROPS 13-14A and an unfunded ROPS III obligation of \$1,829. |
| 4 | Retirement Override Assessment | |
| 5 | n/a | |
| 6 | Agency repayment of Sewer Fund loan | Includes \$157,426 for ROPS 13-14A and an unfunded ROPS III obligation of \$302,768. |
| 7 | Administrative Cost (Personnel Cost) | Salaries and medical benefits for employees undertaking the activities of the Successor Agency (July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013), including general legal |
| | | services and indirect cost. |
| 8 | State Controllers Transaction Report | |
| 9 | Administrative Cost (Annual Audit) | Annual Financial Audit Reports required per Health & Safety code section 33080 for FY 2011-2012. Note: Approved by Oversight Board as professional services |
| | | contract under ROPS No. 1 that are separate from the Administrative Costs for Agency staff and legal Counsel. |
| 10 | Administrative Cost (Property Tax analysis) | |
| | | |
| 11 | Legal Services | |
| 12 | Wilshire Ventures - Attorney Fees (Project | The \$5,000 amount is an unfunded ROPS III obligation to Aleshire Wynder, LLP. |
| | Specific) | |
| 13 | LAUSD Litigation (Project Specific) | Includes \$3,576 for ROPS 13-14A and an unfunded ROPS III obligation of \$9,000. |
| 14 | Project #1/89 Annex | The \$111,929.27 amount is an unfunded ROPS III obligation to the County of Los Angeles. |
| 15 | DDA with Haagen/Tiangus | Per DDA, loan payments end in 2018, regardless of whether loan has been paid in full. |
| 16 | SERAF Loan Payments | If Finding of Completion (FOC) is issued prior to approval of ROPS 13-14A or ROPS 13-14B, loan repayments may begin in FY 2013-14. Amount will not exceed |
| | | annual cap, which is equal to one half of the increase in the amount distributed to taxing entities per §34183(a)(4) in that fiscal year and the amount distributed in |
| | | FY 2012-13. |
| 17 | Retirement Tax Override | Refer to note for Item #20. |
| 18 | Due Diligence Review as required by AB | The \$16,700 amount is an unfunded ROPS III obligation to Eadie & Payne. |
| | 1484 | |
| 19 | Bond Arbitrage Report | Payment for this enforceable obligation made in January 2013. |

SAN FERNANDO CITY (LOS ANGELES)

RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE (ROPS 13-14A) -- Notes (Optional)

July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013

| tem# | Project Name / Debt Obligation | Notes/Comments |
|------|--|---|
| 20 | Obligation Related to Adjustment for Historical Retirement Tax Override Levies | Accounting entry adjustment for the period from FY 2004/05 through FY 2009/10. For these six fiscal years, property taxes levied through the Retirement Tax Override were attributed to Project Area 4 as property tax increment, when they should have been deposited directly into the City's retirement fund, Fund 18. In 1946, voters approved ballot measure authorizing levying of additional property tax rate to generate property taxes necessary to pay pension obligation. In FY 1982/83, City imposed property tax rate of \$0.28420 on each \$100 of assessed value in order to pay for City's annual PERS obligation. The Consolidated Redevelopment Plan for Project Area 4 Section V.B.5 (Tax Increments) states the followingThat portion of tax revenues allocated to the Agency pursuant to paragraph (2) above which is attributable to the tax rate levied for that tax override fund commonly known as the "Retirement Fund of the City of San Fernando," shall be allocated to, and when collected shall be paid into the "Retirement Fund of the City of San Fernando." |
| 21 | Retirement Tax Override | The FY 2011/12 Retirement Tax Override of \$0.2842 due and payable to the City of San Fernando was \$746,194. However, this amount was considered tax increment for purposes of the §34183.5 calculation (July 2012 true-up), when it should have been considered as the retirement property tax override, and thus, allocated to the City's Fund 18 for its PERS obligation. Government Code §34183(a) states the followingNotwithstanding subdivision (e) of Section 33670, that portion of the taxes in excess of the amount identified in subdivision (a) of Section 33670, which are attributable to a tax rate levied by a taxing agency for the purpose of producing revenues in an amount sufficient to make annual repayments of the principal of, and the interest on, any bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property shall be allocated to, and when collected shall be paid into, the fund of that taxing agency. |
| 22 | Credit for ROPS I Passthrough Payment Obligations paid by Successor Agency (obligations were also paid by LA County) | The Successor Agency paid the pass through payments to taxing entities for the ROPS I period. The County also made these same pass through payments. Adjustment would credit Successor Agency for \$182,288 paid to taxing entities. |
| 23 | Redevelopment Dissolution Advisory Services | |